TA)₂; d(TATATGCGCATATA)₂ (2); d(ATACGCGTAT)₂; d(ATATACGCGTATAT)₂. The spectral changes induced by ActD, after accounting for the flanking sequences, are extremely similar for all oligomers with the GCGC sequence. In Figure 1 imino proton spectra of 1 at various ratios of ActD are shown and are compared to a spectrum for 2 at the 2:1 ratio. Spectral changes at ratios of 1.0 and below are quite complex and indicate the presence of two 1:1 complexes. At the 2.0 ratio the spectra simplify and indicate the presence of a unique 2:1 complex for both 1 and 2. In contrast, titrations of duplexes containing the sequence CGCG give very similar spectra with evidence for formation of only a single 1:1 species at the GC site.

³¹P NMR titrations of 1 with ActD are shown in Figure 2 and a spectrum for 2 at a ratio of 2.0 is included for comparison. Four of five phosphodiester groups of 1 were labeled with ¹⁷O and the assignments (Figure 2) employ the numbering scheme T-1-G-2-C-3-G-4-C-5-A. As ActD is added to 1, a complex pattern of downfield peaks appears which are identified in the 0.5 ratio spectrum. As can be seen, there are two sets of downfield signals for P2 and P4 which represent two different 1:1 complexes at GC base pairs.

All 1:1 complexes with ActD studied here and described previously^{8,10,18,19} have downfield ³¹P signals between -1 and -3 ppm and between -2 and -3 ppm. With the CGCG oligomers, as with most other oligomers investigated by NMR to this time, the GC binding site is on the duplex C2 symmetry axis and orientation of the unsymmetric ActD in either possible direction, with the peptides in the minor groove, produces an identical complex. With 1 and 2, however, binding of a single ActD at either GC site gives two different complexes, which are a consequence of the two possible orientations of ActD in an intercalation site,8 and this accounts for the two sets of downfield peaks obtained in the ³¹P spectra in Figure 2 at ratios up to 1.0. The peak areas for the two adducts suggest similar, but not identical, energetics in their DNA binding with the driving force largely a result of the peptide-DNA interactions. At the 2.0 ratio only single signals for P2 and P4 are shifted significantly downfield (-2 to -3 ppm region). In principle three different 2:1 complexes, two with C_2 symmetry, are possible but the spectral simplification (relative to the 1:1 complexes) indicates that a unique complex with C2symmetry is formed. It seems likely that steric constraints on the actinomycin cyclic peptides force the 2:1 complex into a single bound configuration. The shift differences among the 1:1 and 2:1 complexes in ³¹P spectra are, no doubt, a consequence of differences in ring current effects, torsional angles, hydrogen bonding, and other similar factors which are not yet fully understood.8.10,18,19

Several new points are quite clear from these results. First, with these oligomers there is no significant length dependence in the observed effects of ActD binding. Comparison of Figures 1 and 2 illustrates that the six bp's of 1 exhibit imino and ${}^{31}P$ shifts on addition of ActD which are similar to the shifts for the central six bp's of 2. Indeed $d(GCGC)_2$, which has no flanking sequences, also gives similar results. Second, ActD binds to GC sequences with a much higher preference than to CG or any other sequence in these oligonucleotides. All oligomers which have two CG and a single GC site, for example, form only a 1:1 complex with ActD and the binding site is the GC site as evidenced by imino proton shifts induced by the anisotropic ring current of the phenoxazone ring. Both 1 and 2, on the other hand, have two GC sites and one CG site and form 1:1 and 2:1 complexes. The ³¹P shifts for the five phosphodiesters of 1 indicate that the 1:1 complexes are at either of the GC sites while the 2:1 complex has ActD bound at both GC sites. Third, the spectra in Figures 1 and 2 contain

the first direct evidence for formation of two 1:1 complexes by the unsymmetric phenoxazone ring of ActD as suggested by Krugh and co-workers based on chemical shift analysis of ActD-dinucleotide complexes.⁸ This is the first direct evidence for multiple 1:1 complexes for unsymmetrical intercalators and raises important questions about multiple binding orientations of intercalators, in general. Fourth, this finding indicates that the exclusion limit of ActD is quite small (it can intercalate at adjacent GC sites). DNAse I footprinting studies have suggested that binding of ActD at a GC site can inhibit binding at other GC sites up to four bp's away.²⁰ The NMR and footprinting results may not be in disagreement, however, since the more stable 1:1 complexes are almost completely formed before any significant amount of the 2:1 complex is seen (Figures 1 and 2). We are currently investigating oligomers with longer runs of adjacent GC sites to define the exclusion limit and structural effects of ActD binding in more detail.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by NSF Grant DBM-8603566 to W.D.W. and NIH Grant GM29222 to L.G.M. The 360-MHz spectrometer was obtained with partial support from a Departmental NSF grant to E.U.

(20) Fox, K. R.; Waring, M. J. Nucl. Acids Res. 1984, 12, 9271-9285.

Enantioselective Conjugate Addition of Rationally **Designed Chiral Cuprate Reagents to 2-Cycloalkenones**

E. J. Corey,* Reto Naef, and Francis J. Hannon

Department of Chemistry, Harvard University Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138 Received July 28, 1986

Evidence has been obtained recently that the conjugate addition reaction of Gilman reagents with α,β -enones can follow a pathway involving (1) reversible d,π^* -complexation of nucleophilic copper with the enone, (2) β -cuprio adduct formation, and (3) reductive elimination to give the β -carbon adduct.^{1,2} With this mechanistic guidance it became of interest to evaluate appropriate chiral reagents which might deliver copper enantioselectively to one face of an α,β -enone, both as a test of the mechanistic hypothesis and a step toward more powerful synthetic methodology. Described herein are results of an initial investigation that demonstrate for the first time the possibility of achieving useful enantioselectivities (75-95%) with a simple chiral controller ligand (1), obtainable in one step in either enantiomeric form from inexpensive (+)- or (-)-ephedrine.

Reaction of (1R,2S)-(-)-ephedrine (2) with 1.16 equiv of (2chloroethyl)dimethylamine hydrochloride and 2 equiv of powdered potassium carbonate in ethanol at reflux for 4 h afforded after vacuum concentration, extractive isolation, filtration through silica gel (20:1 ethyl acetate-triethylamine), and Kugelrohr distillation [160 °C (0.1 torr)] 82% yield of amino alcohol 1, $[\alpha]^{23}_{D} + 1.86^{\circ}$ (c 1.2, chloroform). This ligand was then deprotonated (1 equiv of RLi), complexed with cuprous iodide (dissolved in tetrahydrofuran (THF)-dimethyl sulfide), and treated with additional RLi to generate the complexed cuprate reagent.

Experiments on the conjugate addition of n-butyl to 2-cyclohexenone revealed a strong dependence of the results on the purity of the organolithium reagent. Thus, with a fresh bottle of the purest *n*-butyllithium available (as a clear solution in hexane) to make the chiral reagent, an 80% yield of 1,4-adduct of 88% ee was obtained. Otherwise, identical experiments with older bottles

⁽¹⁶⁾ Marzilli, L. G.; Banville, D. L.; Zon, G.; Wilson, W. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 4188-4192. (17) Wilson, W. D.; Jones, R. L.; Zon, G.; Banville, D. L.; Marzilli, L. G.

Biopolymers, in press (18) Petersheim, M.; Mehdi, S.; Gerlt, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106,

^{439-440.} (19) Gorenstein, D. G.; Lai, K.; Shah, D. O. Biochemistry 1984, 23,

 ^{(1) (}a) Corey, E. J.; Boaz, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 6015.
 (b) Corey, E. J.; Boaz, N. Ibid. 1984, 25, 3063.
 (2) (a) Hallnemo, G.; Olsson, T.; Ullenius, C. J. Organomet. Chem. 1985, 260, 2007.

^{282, 133. (}b) Hallnemo, G.; Ullenius, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 395.

of n-butyllithium, which had been used a number of times and which had probably adsorbed small amounts of air and moisture, led to considerably lower enantioselectivities. Similar results were obtained with ethyllithium. Using ethyllithium which had been freshly prepared³ and recrystallized⁴ from toluene (under argon at 4 °C) the reagent derived from 1 reacted with 2-cyclohexenone in THF at -78 °C to produce (R)-(+)-3-ethylcyclohexanone in 66% yield with optical purity >95\% ee. On the other hand the reagent made from alkoxide-contaminated ethyllithium was grossly inferior and gave product of much lower optical purity (as low as 9% ee). From observations it is evident that the presence of small amounts of alkoxide results in alkoxide-containing species which are highly reactive and nonenantioselective in conjugate addition.

Since even small amounts of alkoxide impurities are deleterious to enantioselectivity, it was decided to add to the reagent a substance which might destroy alkoxide and then to add enone. Good results were obtained by using methyl iodide as an alkoxide scavenger with the following sequential stoichiometric protocol: 1.0 equiv of amino alcohol 1; 0.89 equiv of RLi; 0.18 equiv of CH₃I; 0.67 equiv of CuI; 0.45 equiv of RLi; 0.09 equiv of CH₃I; 0.30 equiv of enone.⁵ With methyl iodide as additive good enantioselectivity could be obtained consistently without the need for very high purity organolithium reagents.

For 2-cyclohexenone by use of amino alcohol 1 with the methyl iodide protocol and various alkyllithium reagents the following results were obtained: (1) with ethyllithium, 90% yield of (R)-(+)-3-ethylcyclohexanone (92% ee); (2) with *n*-butyllithium, 90% yield of (R)-(+)-3-n-butylcyclohexanone (89% ee); (3) with (tert-butoxymethyl) lithium,⁶ 73% yield of (R)-(-)-3-(tert-butoxymethyl)cyclohexanone (85% ee). In all these experiments the chiral ligand 1 could be recovered quantitatively by extractive isolation. The optical purity of each of the above 3-substituted cyclohexanones was determined after conversion to a mixture of diastereomeric ketals with (R)-(-)-2,3-butanediol (tosic acid as catalyst in toluene at reflux) by ¹³C nuclear magnetic resonance analysis.^{7,8} In each case the major isomer was that with the highest chemical shift for C(3), indicating the same enantiofacial preference for conjugate addition, specifically, attack at the re face of C(3) of 2-cyclohexenone.9

These results can be understood in terms of the model depicted in the accompanying diagram. In this model lithium is chelated by the conjugate base of 1 as a tridentate ligand and associated with an alkylcopper fragment in a manner which has much precedent.^{2b,10} Selective interaction with the *re* face of C(3) in 2-cyclohexenone is proposed to occur such that nucleophilic copper forms a d, π^* -complex as the carbonyl oxygen coordinates with a second lithium ion which is held in place by the alkoxy group from 1. Electrophilic lithium, known to be required for dialkyl cuprate conjugate addition,^{26,11} is also critical in the present system.¹² The alternative pathway involving attack by copper

(4) See: Lewis, H. L.; Brown, T. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 4664. (5) Alternatively on a scale above 2 mmol, smaller excesses of reagents can be used according to the sequential stoichiometric protocol: 1.0 equiv of amino alcohol 1; 0.95 equiv of RLi; 0.19 equiv of CH₃Li; 0.90 equiv of CuI; 0.85 equiv of RLi; 0.18 equiv of CH₃I; 0.6 equiv of enone.

 (6) Corey, E. J.; Eckrich, T. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 3163.
 (7) The ¹³C NMR signals due to C(3) of the 3-alkylcyclohexanone ketals appeared at the following chemical shift values (ppm downfield from tetra-methylsilane): 3-ethylcyclohexanone, 43.65 and 42.72 (major); 3-n-butylcyclohexanone, 44.01 and 43.10 (major); 3-(tert-butoxymethyl)cyclohexanone

cyclohexanone, 44.01 and 43.10 (major); 3-(*tert*-butoxymethyl)cyclohexanone 40.66 and 39.85 ppm (major). (8) (a) Hiemstra, H.; Wynberg, H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1977**, 2183. (b) Posner, G. H.; Hulce, M. *Ibid.* **1984**, 25, 379. (9) The dextrorotatory enantiomers of 3-methyl- and 3-*n*-butylcyclo-hexanone have the 3(*R*) configuration; see: Langer, W.; Seebach, D. *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1979**, 62, 1710. We assign the *R* configuration to 3-(*tert*-but-oxymethyl)cyclohexanone [[α]²³_D-2.92° (*c* 1.2, toluene)] obtained by using chiral ligand 1 on the basis of the ¹³C NMR data.⁸ (10) (a) Seebach D. *Perce, R. 4. Welch Foundation Conf. XYVII* **1984**.



X = I or THF; S = THF $R = C_2H_5$, $n-C_4H_9$ or $t-BuOCH_2$



on the si face of C(3) in 2-cyclohexenone is clearly less favorable for steric reasons. From this model it is obvious why alkoxide impurities reduce enantioselectivity.

Parallel experiments with 2-cyclopentenone and the three chiral reagents described above gave similar results, although as expected from the mechanistic model the enantioselectivities were somewhat below those found with 2-cyclohexenone. Reagents prepared from 1 afforded results as follows: (1) with ethyllithium, 68% yield of (R)-(+)-3-ethylcyclopentanone (77% ee); (2) with *n*-butyllithium, 60% yield of (R)-(+)-3-n-butylcyclopentanone (72% ee); (3) with (tert-butoxymethyl)lithium, 52% yield of (R)-(+)-3-(*tert*-butoxymethyl)cyclopentanone, $[\alpha]^{23}_{D} + 28.8^{\circ}$ (c 1, toluene) (81% ee).^{13,14} These data are also in accord with the model These data are also in accord with the model presented above.15

Attempts to conduct the enantioselective conjugate addition process outlined above in the presence of trimethylchlorosilane as an in situ electrophile^{1a} were unsuccessful because of rapid

(12) This was shown by the following experiment. Amino alcohol 1 was deprotonated by potassium hydride in THF and then treated successively with cuprous iodide, *n*-butyllithium, and 2-cyclohexenone at -78 °C. No reaction occurred at -78 °C until lithium iodide (1 equiv based on 1) was added, after which normal conjugate addition proceeded to give 3-*n*-butylcyclohexanone. (13) Optical purities were determined by ¹³C NMR measurements of the

ketal derivatives made from (R)-(-)-2,3-butanediol. Chemical shift values for C(3) were as follows: 3-ethylcyclopentanone 44.55 (major), 44.26; 3-n-butylcyclopentanone 44.95 (major), 44.67; 3-(*tert*-butoxymethyl)cyclo-

but yield by the second secon (2.4 M in hexane, 1.27 mmol) in 3 mL of dry THF at -78 °C under argon was treated with 17.5 μ L (0.281 mmol, 0.2 equiv) of methyl iodide. The colorless solution was stirred at -78 °C for 30 min and treated with 300 mg (1.27 mmol) of amino alcohol 1 in 7 mL of dry THF. After 10 min at -78 C, 161 mg (0.847 mmol, 0.667 equiv) of cuprous iodide in 6 mL of THF and 0.8 mL of dimethyl sulfide was added slowly. The resulting pale green solution was warmed to -35 °C and stirred at that temperature for 1 h. n-Butyllithium (0.7 mmol, 0.55 equiv) and 9 μ L (0.141 mmol, 0.11 equiv) of methyl iodide were kept at -78 °C in 0.5 mL of THF for 30 min and then transferred by cannula to the solution of copper complex at -78 °C resulting in a deep brown solution. After 2.5 h at -78 °C, 35 μ L (0.353 mmol, 0.278 equiv) of 2cyclohexenone was added neat. The mixture was quenched after 1.5 h at -78 °C by addition of 5 mL of a 1:1 mixture of saturated ammonium chloride-10% ammonium hydroxide and worked up by extraction with pentane. The pentane extract was washed with a small amount of 1 N hydrochloric acid, dried, and concentrated. Chromatography on silica gel (5:1 pentane-ether) afforded 49 mg of 3-*n*-butylcyclohexanone (90%), $[\alpha]^{23}_{D}$ +7.23° (*c* 1.13, toluene), 92% ee as determined by ketalization with (*R*)-(-)-2,3-butanediol and ¹³C NMR analysis.^{7,8} The 3-butylcyclohexanone obtained was homogeneous by TLC analysis and its infrared and 270-MHz |H NMR spectra were identical with those of an authentic sample. The amino alcohol 1 was recovered in >95% yield by basification of the aqueous fractions from the workup, saturation with sodium chloride, and extraction with ethyl acetate.

⁽³⁾ Ziegler, K.; Gellert, H.-G. Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1950, 567, 179.

^{(10) (}a) Seebach, D. Proc. R. A. Welch Foundation Conf., XXVII 1984,
93. (b) van Koten, G.; Jastrzebski, J. T. B. H.; Muller, F.; Stam, C. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 697. (c) Chiang, M. Y.; Böhlen, E.; Blau, R. Ibid 1985, 107, 1679.

⁽¹¹⁾ Ouannes, C.; Dressaire, G.; Langlois, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1977, 815.

O-silvlation of the chiral ligand in the mixed cuprate at -78 °C.

The chiral ligand 3, $[\alpha]^{\overline{23}}_{D}$ +105.1° (c 2.5, chloroform), and its enantiomer are available starting with (R)-(-)- or (S)-(+)-mandelic acid, respectively.¹⁶ Conversion of 3 to the chiral mixed methyl cuprate using methyllithium reagent in ether and toluene as the other solvent afforded a homogeneous reagent which upon reaction at -78 °C with 2-cyclohexenone afforded in 60% yield (R)-(+)-3-methylcyclohexanone of 90% ee. Although less work has been done with 3 because its synthesis requires four steps, it is obviously an effective ligand for enantioselective conjugate addition.

The results reported herein represent a major advance from earlier findings with chirally complexed cuprates9 and provide a strong indication that excellent progress is possible in this area. The study of other ligands should yield valuable information.¹⁷

Science Foundation and the National Institutes of Health.

Importance of the Timing of Bond Breaking and Bond Making in Acetal Templates. Enantiodivergent Synthesis of Steroidal Side Chains[†]

Yoshinori Yamamoto,* Shinji Nishii, and Jun-ichi Yamada

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science Tohoku University, Sendai 980, Japan Received May 6, 1986

Asymmetric syntheses via chiral acetal templates are becoming increasingly important for modern organic chemistry.¹ mechanistic rationale which readily accounts for the observed high asymmetric induction is provided by Johnson and Bartlett.^{1b,c} However, an important unanswered question remains concerning the timing of bond breaking and bond making in the chiral cyclic acetal templates. The S_N2-like transition state is proposed, and thus a fully synchronous process must be needed for the high asymmetric induction. In other words, the enantiomeric excess or even the direction of asymmetric induction should strongly depend upon both the Lewis acidity of MX, and the nucleophilicity of Nu.²

However, to the best of our knowledge, the results reported until now do not show such a sign.³ We herein report for the first time

(2) For a review on the importance of combination between Lewis acids and nucleophiles (organometallic compounds) and for their compatibility, see: Yamamoto, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., in press.

rable i. Enantiourvergent Synthesis of Steroidal Side Ci
--

	steroidal acetal	organo- metal	isomer ratio ^b	isolated yield, %	chirality of a major isomer ^c	
entry					template	Cram rule
	· · · · · · · ·		6:7			
1	1(S-R,R)	4a	>99:<1	93	+	+
2	•	4b	>99:<1	90	+	+
3		4c	96:4	85	+	+
4	2(S-S,S)	4a	90:10	93	-	+
5		4b	88:12	88	-	+
6		4c	30:70	84	+	-
7		4d	76:24	80	-	+
			8:9			
8	1(S-R,R)	5a	95:5	80	+	+
9		5b	95:5	82	+	+
10		5c	98:2	72	+	+
11	2(S-S,S)	5a	10:90	78	+	-
12		5b	8:92	78	+	-
13		5c	92:8	82	-	+

^aAll reactions were carried out on 1-mmol scale according to the literature procedure.^{1b-d} The isomer ratio of the final products were essentially identical with those of the initial adducts (steroidal ethers). ^b By 400-MHz ¹H NMR spectroscopy (supplementary material). c(+)The chirality of a major isomer is consistent with the chirality predicted either by the template or by Cram rule. (-) The chirality of a major isomer is opposite from the predicted chirality.

evidence that the bond making and bond breaking are in fact concerted for the high asymmetric induction via acetal templates and an enantiodivergent synthesis of a steroidal side chain by use of this concept.

Treatment of the chiral steroidal acetal 1 (S-R,R isomer), prepared from the steroidal aldehyde 3^4 and (2R,4R)-(-)-pentanediol,⁵ with allylsilane 4a in the presence of TiCl₄ followed by the usual workup^{1b} gave 6 exclusively. On the other hand, the



⁽³⁾ Organomagnesium, -lithium, -copper, -silicon, and -aluminum com-pounds exhibit the same tendency in chiral induction; the R,R acetal induces S chirality and the S,S acetal induces R chirality, ref 1 and references cited

⁽¹⁶⁾ The synthetic sequence used for the synthesis of 3 was as follows: (1) conversion of mandelic acid to the acetate (acetyl chloride, 98% yield); (2) formation of acid chloride (thionyl chloride, 99% yield); (3) reaction with trimethylethylenediamine (96% yield); (4) reduction with lithium aluminum hydride (90% yield) to form 3, $[\alpha]^{23}_{D} - 106.0^{\circ}$ (c 1.5, CHCl₃). (17) This research was assisted financially by grants from the National Science and the National Institutes of Haelth

[†] Dedicated to Professor G. Zweifel on the occasion of his 60th birthday. (1) For the representative cyclic acetal templates, see: (a) Johnson, W. S.; Harbert, C. A.; Stipanovic, R. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 5279. (b) Bartlett, P. A.; Johnson, W. S.; Elliott, J. D. Ibid. 1983, 105, 2088. (c) Choi, V. M. F.; Elliott, J. D.; Johnson, W. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 591. (d) Johnson, W. S.; Chan, M. F. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 2598. (e) Mori, A.; Fujiwara, J.; Maruoka, K.; Yamamoto, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 4581. (f) Mori, A.; Fujiwara, J.; Maruoka, K.; Yamamoto, H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1985, 285, 83. (g) Mori, A.; Ishihara, K.; Yamamoto, H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1986, 287. (h) Fujiwara, J.; Fukutani, Y.; Hasegawa, M.; Maruoka, K.; Yamamoto, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 5004. (i) Alexakis, A.; Man-ganey, P.; Normant, J. F. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 4197. (j) Ghribi, A.; Alexakis, A.; Normant, J. F. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 3083. (k) Mashraqui, K. S. H.; Kellogg, R. M. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 2513. (l) McNamara, J. M.; Kishi, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 7371. (m) Richter, W. J. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 5119. (n) Tamura, Y.; Kondo, H.; Annoura, H.; Takeuchi, R.; Fujioka, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 81. (o) Mash, E. A.; Nelson, K. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 8256. For acyclic acetals, see: (p) Imwink-elried, R.; Seebach, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1985, 24, 765. (q) Winstead, R. C.; Simpson, T. H.; Lock, G. A.; Schiavelli, M. D.; Thompson, D. W. Loce. Chem. 1986, 41, 200 Winstead, R. C.; Simpson, T. H.; Lock, G. A.; Schiavelli, M. D.; Thompson, D. W. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 275.

⁽⁴⁾ The chiral aldehyde was prepared from pregnenolone by the literature procedure: Midland, M. M.; Kwon, Y. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 5981.
(5) Purchased from Waco Chemical Ind., Japan.